

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/823,491 04/12/2004 Yaw-Der Hwang OR0408 4938 **EXAMINER** 22192 7590 08/05/2005 LAW OFFICE OF LIAUH & ASSOC. GATES, ERIC ANDREW **4224 WAIALAE AVE** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STE 5-388 HONOLULU, HI 96816 3722

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/823,491	HWANG, YAW-DER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric A. Gates	3722
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		•
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 		
Application Papers	•	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing. Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/823,491 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In line 9, "external" should read "internal".

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. In line 18 of page 1, "cavity 4" should read "cavity 2".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/823,491

Art Unit: 3722

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Page 3

States.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kin

(JP 03086436 A). Per claim 1, Kin discloses a case 15 with a hollow cylindrical portion

including an upper seat 47, a cavity 13 below the seat 47, and a lower channel 13. Kin

also discloses a locking device 21 including a plate 37 secured onto the seat 47, the

plate including a central hole (not referenced, filled by rivet 39), and a plurality of legs 31

extended downwardly from the edge of the hole, each leg having an inwardly extended

latch piece (not referenced). Inserting a projection 19 of a handle of a knife 11 into the

cavity 13 and forcing it through a channel surrounded by the latched pieces of the legs

31 will move the latched pieces of the legs 31 inwardly to lock a shoulder between the

projection 19 and the handle of the knife 11. Per claim 2, the projection 19 of the knife

11 has a diameter larger than that of the channel surrounded by the latched pieces of

the legs 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kin in view of Uchida (JP 53016976 A). Kin discloses a case 15 with a hollow

Application/Control Number: 10/823,491

Art Unit: 3722

cylindrical portion including an upper seat 47, a cavity 13 below the seat 47, and a lower channel 13. Kin also discloses a locking device 21 including a plate 37 secured onto the seat 47, the plate including a central hole (not referenced, filled by rivet 39), and a plurality of legs 31 extended downwardly from the edge of the hole. Inserting a projection 19 of a handle of a knife 11 into the cavity 13 and forcing it through a channel surrounded by the latched pieces of the legs 31 will move the latched pieces of the legs 31 inwardly to lock a shoulder between the projection 19 and the handle of the knife 11. Kin does not disclose each leg on the locking device having an outwardly extended latched piece, whereby inserting a hollow protrusion of a handle of a knife into the case and forcing the latched pieces to insert into the protrusion moves the latched pieces outwardly to lock a shoulder between an enlarged portion of a bore of the protrusion and a narrow end portion of the bore thereof. Kin also does not disclose the narrow end portion of the bore of the protrusion to have a diameter smaller than an outer diameter of the latched pieces, per claim 5.

8. Uchida teaches in Figure 2 a collet 9 with an outwardly extending flange 18A used as a locking device for a knife T_L. Uchida also teaches the knife T_L having a hollow portion 18, and Uchida teaches the narrow end portion of the bore of the protrusion 17 to have a smaller diameter 19A and 19B than an outer diameter of the latched pieces 18A. The outwardly extending flange 18A is used for the purpose of outwardly locking a shoulder between an enlarged portion 19A and 19B of the hollow protrusion of the knife T_L and a narrow end portion of the bore 18 thereof. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of tooling to modify the

Application/Control Number: 10/823,491 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

case of Kin with the locking technique of Uchida in order to create a device that can be used to lock machine tool knives having hollow ends on the handle instead of standard projection ends.

9. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kin. Kin discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except Kin discloses a plate 37 and seat 47 that are circular in shape. Kin does not disclose the plate and the seat to be substantially half circular. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the plate and seat substantially half circular, because Applicant has not disclosed that a substantially half circular plate and seat provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a circular plate and seat because this design would still provide flat surfaces for attachment to each other. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Kin to obtain the invention as specified in claims 3 and 6.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kin (Japanese Patent 03092237 A), Pollington (U.S. Patent 6,245,003), and Susnjara (U.S. Patent 6,416,450) teach the use of locking devices with a plurality of legs having inwardly extended latch pieces. Hopkins (U.S. Patent

Art Unit: 3722

6,494,822) teaches the use of a locking device with a plurality of legs having outwardly extended latch pieces.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Gates whose telephone number is 571-272-5498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-4:30 & alt Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric A. Gates
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3722

EAG

BOYER D. ASHLEY PRIMARY EXAMINER